

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/651,303	MORAN, DOUGLAS B.
	Examiner Ronald Baum	Art Unit 2136

All Participants:

(1) Ronald Baum.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) William J. James.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 December 2004

Time: 15:10

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(b); broadness of claims scope

Claims discussed:

1,6,7,8,18,22,23

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon examiner reconsideration of applicants prior arguments (and subsequent amendments) in the remarks of 10/21/2004, the discussed claims 1,22,23 require limitations from dependent claims 6,7,8,18 (and associated similar claims, see examiner amendment). The examiner proposed appropriate changes via examiner amendment, into the claims that would sufficiently narrow the scope and distinguish the invention for allowance. Mr. James obtained the approval of the applicant, and confirming examiners proposed claim changes on 12/15/2004..